# **Commuting Cohesions**

David Jaz Myers and Mitchell Riley

# CQTS New York University Abu Dhabi

22<sup>nd</sup> May 2023

### Spatial Type Theory

Spatial type theory is an extension of HoTT whose intended models are 'local toposes':



with the outer functors fully faithful.

- ▶  $\flat$  := Disc  $\circ$   $\Gamma$  is a lex idempotent comonad,
- ▶  $\sharp := \text{CoDisc} \circ \Gamma$  is an idempotent monad,
- ▶ with  $\flat \dashv \sharp$ .

In nice settings, there is a type G that "detects connectivity"

 $\{X \text{ is } \flat \text{-modal}\} \longleftrightarrow \{X \text{ is } G\text{-null}\}$ 

Then  $\int :\equiv$  (nullification at G) is left adjoint to  $\flat$ .

### Spatial Type Theory

Spatial type theory is an extension of HoTT whose intended models are 'local toposes':



with the outer functors fully faithful.

▶  $\flat$  := Disc  $\circ$   $\Gamma$  is a lex idempotent comonad,

▶ 
$$\sharp := \text{CoDisc} \circ \Gamma$$
 is an idempotent monad,

▶ with  $\flat \dashv \sharp$ .

In nice settings, there is a type G that "detects connectivity"

$$\{X \text{ is } \flat\text{-modal}\} \longleftrightarrow \{X \text{ is } G\text{-null}\}$$

Then  $\int :\equiv$  (nullification at G) is left adjoint to  $\flat$ .

"Topological"  $\infty$ -groupoids (say, sheaves on Cartesian spaces):

- $\blacktriangleright \int X$ : Fundamental ∞-groupoid, topologised discretely
- ▶  $\flat X$ : Discrete retopologization
- ▶ #X: Codiscrete retopologization
- $\blacktriangleright$  Connectivity detected by  $\mathbb R$

# Simplicial $\infty$ -groupoids:

- ▶ re X: Realization, as a 0-skeletal simplicial  $\infty$ -groupoid
- ▶  $\mathsf{sk}_0 X$ : 0-skeleton
- ▶  $\mathsf{csk}_0 X$ : 0-coskeleton
- ► Connectivity detected by ∆[1] (postulated as a total order with 0 and 1)

From  $\Delta[1]$  you can define  $\Delta[n] :\equiv$  (chains of length n in  $\Delta[1]$ ) and  $X_n :\equiv \mathsf{sk}_0(\Delta[n] \to X)...$  "Topological"  $\infty$ -groupoids (say, sheaves on Cartesian spaces):

- $\blacktriangleright \int X$ : Fundamental ∞-groupoid, topologised discretely
- ▶  $\flat X$ : Discrete retopologization
- ▶ #X: Codiscrete retopologization
- $\blacktriangleright$  Connectivity detected by  $\mathbb R$

Simplicial  $\infty$ -groupoids:

- ▶ re X: Realization, as a 0-skeletal simplicial ∞-groupoid
- ▶  $\mathsf{sk}_0 X$ : 0-skeleton
- ▶  $\mathsf{csk}_0 X$ : 0-coskeleton
- ► Connectivity detected by ∆[1] (postulated as a total order with 0 and 1)

From  $\Delta[1]$  you can define  $\Delta[n] :\equiv$  (chains of length n in  $\Delta[1]$ ) and  $X_n :\equiv \mathsf{sk}_0(\Delta[n] \to X)...$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CTX-EMPTY} & \hline & \\ \hline & & \\ \text{CTX-EXT-CRISP} & \frac{\Delta \mid \cdot \vdash A \; \text{type}}{\Delta, x : A \mid \cdot \; \text{ctx}} & \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{CTX-EXT} \; \frac{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash A \; \text{type}}{\Delta \mid \Gamma, x : A \; \text{ctx}} \end{array}$$

VAR-CRISP 
$$\overline{\Delta, x : A, \Delta' \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A}$$

$$\operatorname{VAR} \, \overline{\Delta \mid \Gamma, x : A, \Gamma' \vdash x : A}$$





$$\flat$$
-FORM  $\checkmark$ 

-FORM 
$$\frac{\mathbf{V} \setminus \Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{V}}A \text{ type}}$$

$$\flat\text{-INTRO} \frac{\blacktriangledown \backslash \Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash M^{\flat_{\blacktriangledown}} : \flat_{\blacktriangledown}A}$$

$$\flat_{\text{-ELIM}} \frac{ \bigvee \Gamma \vdash A \text{ type } \Gamma, x : \flat_{\bigvee} A \vdash C \text{ type } }{\Gamma \vdash M : \flat_{\bigvee} A \quad \Gamma, u :_{\bigvee} A \vdash N : C[u^{\flat_{\bigvee}}/x] }{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } u^{\flat_{\bigvee}} := M \text{ in } N) : C[M/x] }$$

$$\sharp\text{-FORM} \frac{\bigvee \Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \sharp_{\bigvee} A \text{ type}}$$
$$\sharp\text{-INTRO} \frac{\bigvee \Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash M^{\sharp_{\bigvee}} : \sharp_{\bigvee} A} \qquad \qquad \sharp\text{-ELIM} \frac{\bigvee \backslash \Gamma \vdash N : \sharp_{\bigvee} A}{\Gamma \vdash N_{\sharp_{\bigvee}} : A}$$

**Definition**.  $\mathbf{\Psi}\Gamma$  adds the  $\mathbf{\Psi}$  annotation to every variable in  $\Gamma$ .

With dual contexts,  $\Delta \mid \Gamma \operatorname{ctx} \rightsquigarrow \Delta, \Gamma \mid \cdot \operatorname{ctx}$ .

We want to prove an internal version of:

Theorem. The homotopy type of a manifold M may be computed as the realization of a certain simplicial set built from the Čech complex of any "good" cover. For  $f: X \to Y$ , the Čech complex is the simplicial diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ X \times_Y X \times_Y X \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ X \times_Y X \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ X \end{array}$$

٠

Definition. The Čech complex  $\check{\mathsf{C}}(f)$  of f is its  $\mathsf{csk}_0$ -image:  $\check{\mathsf{C}}(f) :\equiv (y:Y) \times \mathsf{csk}_0((x:X) \times (fx = y)).$  For  $f: X \to Y$ , the Čech complex is the simplicial diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ X \times_Y X \times_Y X \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ X \times_Y X \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ X \end{array}$$

٠

Definition. The Čech complex  $\check{\mathsf{C}}(f)$  of f is its  $\mathsf{csk}_0$ -image:  $\check{\mathsf{C}}(f) :\equiv (y:Y) \times \mathsf{csk}_0((x:X) \times (fx = y)).$ 

# The Čech Complex

# **Proposition**. For 0-skeletal X and Y,

$$\check{\mathsf{C}}(f)_n \simeq X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X \simeq (y:Y) \times ((x:X) \times (fx=y))^{n+1}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \check{\mathsf{C}}(f)_n \\ &:\equiv \mathsf{sk}_0(\Delta[n] \to \check{\mathsf{C}}(f)) \\ &\equiv \mathsf{sk}_0(\Delta[n] \to (y:Y) \times \mathsf{csk}_0((x:X) \times (fx=y))) \\ &\simeq \mathsf{sk}_0((\sigma:\Delta[n] \to Y) \times ((i:\Delta[n]) \to \mathsf{csk}_0((x:X) \times (fx=\sigma i)))) \\ &\simeq \mathsf{sk}_0((y:Y) \times (\Delta[n] \to \mathsf{csk}_0((x:X) \times (fx=y)))) \\ &\simeq \mathsf{sk}_0((y:Y) \times \mathsf{csk}_0([n] \to (x:X) \times (fx=y))) \\ &\simeq ((u:\mathsf{sk}_0Y) \times \mathsf{let} \ y^{\mathsf{sk}_0} := u \, \mathsf{in} \, \mathsf{sk}_0([n] \to (x:X) \times (fx=y))) \\ &\simeq ((y:Y) \times ([n] \to (x:X) \times (fx=y))) \\ &\simeq (y:Y) \times ((x:X) \times (fx=y))^{n+1} \end{split}$$

# **Commuting Cohesions**



Add a copy of all the above rules for another annotation  $\clubsuit$ .

. . .

The possible annotations on variables are  $\{\emptyset, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{\Phi}, \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Phi}\}$ .

$$CTX-EXT \xrightarrow{\bullet \subseteq \{\heartsuit, \clubsuit\}} \bullet \setminus \Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}$$
$$\Gamma, x :_{\bullet} A \text{ ctx}$$

$$\operatorname{VAR} \frac{\Gamma, x : \bullet A, \Gamma' \operatorname{ctx}}{\Gamma, x : \bullet A, \Gamma' \vdash x : A}$$

Add a copy of all the above rules for another annotation  $\clubsuit$ .

$$\flat_{\bullet}\text{-FORM} \frac{\spadesuit \setminus \Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \flat_{\bullet}A \text{ type}} \qquad \qquad \sharp_{\bullet}\text{-FORM} \frac{\clubsuit \Gamma \vdash A \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \sharp_{\bullet}A \text{ type}}$$

. . .

$$\begin{array}{l} {}_{\mathrm{CTX-EXT}} \underbrace{\bullet \subseteq \{ \blacktriangledown, \bullet \}} \quad \bullet \setminus \Gamma \vdash A \text{ type} \\ \overline{\Gamma, x} :_{\bullet} A \text{ ctx} \\ \\ {}_{\mathrm{VAR}} \frac{\Gamma, x :_{\bullet} A, \Gamma' \text{ ctx}}{\Gamma, x :_{\bullet} A, \Gamma' \vdash x : A} \end{array}$$



**Proposition.** Any lemmas and theorems concerning  $(\flat \text{ and } \sharp)$  using no axioms are true also of  $(\flat_{\heartsuit} \text{ and } \sharp_{\diamondsuit})$  and  $(\flat_{\clubsuit} \text{ and } \sharp_{\clubsuit})$ . Lemma.  $\flat_{\heartsuit}$  and  $\flat_{\clubsuit}$  commute. Proof.

$$\begin{split} \flat_{\Psi} \flat_{\Phi} X &\to \flat_{\Phi} \flat_{\Psi} X \\ u &\mapsto \mathsf{let} \ v^{\flat_{\Psi}} := u \mathsf{in} \left( \mathsf{let} \ w^{\flat_{\Phi}} := v \mathsf{in} \ w^{\flat_{\Psi} \flat_{\Phi}} \right) \end{split}$$

and vice versa.

Lemma.  $\sharp_{\Psi}$  and  $\sharp_{\Phi}$  commute. Proof.  $v \mapsto v_{\sharp_{\Psi} \sharp_{\Phi}} \stackrel{\sharp_{\Psi}}{=} and$  vice versa. Lemma.  $\int_{\Psi}$  and  $\int_{\Phi}$  commute (when they both exist) Proposition. Any lemmas and theorems concerning  $(\flat \text{ and } \sharp)$  using no axioms are true also of  $(\flat_{\forall} \text{ and } \sharp_{\forall})$  and  $(\flat_{\bullet} \text{ and } \sharp_{\bullet})$ . Lemma.  $\flat_{\forall}$  and  $\flat_{\bullet}$  commute.

$$\begin{split} \flat_{\Psi} \flat_{\clubsuit} X \to \flat_{\clubsuit} \flat_{\Psi} X \\ u \mapsto \text{let } v^{\flat_{\Psi}} := u \text{ in } (\text{let } w^{\flat_{\clubsuit}} := v \text{ in } w^{\flat_{\Psi} \flat_{\clubsuit}}) \end{split}$$

#### and vice versa.

Lemma.  $\sharp_{\Psi}$  and  $\sharp_{\bullet}$  commute. Proof.  $v \mapsto v_{\sharp_{\Psi} \sharp_{\bullet}} \stackrel{\sharp_{\Psi}}{=} \bullet$  and vice versa. Lemma.  $\int_{\Psi}$  and  $\int_{\bullet}$  commute (when they both exist). Proposition. Any lemmas and theorems concerning  $(\flat \text{ and } \sharp)$  using no axioms are true also of  $(\flat_{\forall} \text{ and } \sharp_{\forall})$  and  $(\flat_{\bullet} \text{ and } \sharp_{\bullet})$ . Lemma.  $\flat_{\forall}$  and  $\flat_{\bullet}$  commute.

$$\begin{split} \flat_{\Psi} \flat_{\clubsuit} X &\to \flat_{\clubsuit} \flat_{\Psi} X \\ u &\mapsto \mathsf{let} \ v^{\flat_{\Psi}} := u \mathsf{in} \left( \mathsf{let} \ w^{\flat_{\clubsuit}} := v \mathsf{in} \ w^{\flat_{\Psi} \flat_{\clubsuit}} \right) \end{split}$$

and vice versa.

Lemma.  $\sharp_{\Psi}$  and  $\sharp_{\bullet}$  commute. Proof.  $v \mapsto v_{\sharp_{\Psi} \sharp_{\bullet}}^{\sharp_{\Psi} \sharp_{\bullet}}$  and vice versa. Lemma.  $\int_{\Psi}$  and  $\int_{\bullet}$  commute (when they both exist) Proposition. Any lemmas and theorems concerning  $(\flat \text{ and } \sharp)$  using no axioms are true also of  $(\flat_{\forall} \text{ and } \sharp_{\forall})$  and  $(\flat_{\bullet} \text{ and } \sharp_{\bullet})$ . Lemma.  $\flat_{\forall}$  and  $\flat_{\bullet}$  commute.

$$\begin{split} \flat_{\Psi} \flat_{\clubsuit} X &\to \flat_{\clubsuit} \flat_{\Psi} X \\ u &\mapsto \mathsf{let} \ v^{\flat_{\Psi}} := u \mathsf{in} \left( \mathsf{let} \ w^{\flat_{\clubsuit}} := v \mathsf{in} \ w^{\flat_{\Psi} \flat_{\clubsuit}} \right) \end{split}$$

and vice versa.

Lemma.  $\sharp_{\forall}$  and  $\sharp_{\bullet}$  commute. Proof.  $v \mapsto v_{\sharp_{\forall} \sharp_{\bullet}}^{\dagger_{\diamond}} = 1$  and vice versa. Lemma.  $\int_{\forall}$  and  $\int_{\bullet}^{\bullet}$  commute (when they both exist).

# But not everything commutes with everything!

**Definition.** If types G and H detect connectivity of  $\forall$  and  $\blacklozenge$ , we say  $\forall$  and  $\blacklozenge$  are *orthogonal* when G is  $\flat_{\diamondsuit}$ -modal and H is  $\flat_{\diamondsuit}$ -modal.

Lemma. If X is  $\int_{\Phi}$ -modal then  $\sharp_{\Psi}X$  is also  $\int_{\Phi}$ -modal. Proof.

$$\begin{array}{l} (H \to \sharp_{\P} X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\P} (H \to \sharp_{\P} X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\P} (\flat_{\P} H \to X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\P} (H \to X) \end{array} \quad \text{since } H \text{ was assumed } \flat_{\P} \text{-modal} \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\P} X \qquad \qquad \text{since } X \text{ is } \int_{\P} \text{-modal} X \end{array}$$

But not everything commutes with everything!

**Definition.** If types G and H detect connectivity of  $\forall$  and  $\blacklozenge$ , we say  $\forall$  and  $\blacklozenge$  are *orthogonal* when G is  $\flat_{\diamondsuit}$ -modal and H is  $\flat_{\blacktriangledown}$ -modal.

Lemma. If X is  $\int_{\Phi}$ -modal then  $\sharp \bigvee X$  is also  $\int_{\Phi}$ -modal. Proof.

$$\begin{array}{l} (H \to \sharp_{\P} X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\P} (H \to \sharp_{\P} X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\P} (\flat_{\P} H \to X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\P} (H \to X) \end{array} \quad \text{since } H \text{ was assumed } \flat_{\P} \text{-modal} \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\P} X \qquad \qquad \text{since } X \text{ is } \int_{\P} \text{-modal} X \end{array}$$

But not everything commutes with everything!

**Definition.** If types G and H detect connectivity of  $\forall$  and  $\blacklozenge$ , we say  $\forall$  and  $\clubsuit$  are *orthogonal* when G is  $\flat_{\diamondsuit}$ -modal and H is  $\flat_{\blacktriangledown}$ -modal.

Lemma. If X is  $\int_{\Phi}$ -modal then  $\sharp_{\Psi}X$  is also  $\int_{\Phi}$ -modal. Proof.

$$\begin{array}{l} (H \to \sharp_{\blacktriangledown} X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\blacktriangledown} (H \to \sharp_{\blacktriangledown} X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\blacktriangledown} (b_{\blacktriangledown} H \to X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\blacktriangledown} (H \to X) \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\blacktriangledown} (H \to X) \end{array} \quad \text{since } H \text{ was assumed } b_{\blacktriangledown} \text{-modal} \\ \simeq & \sharp_{\blacktriangledown} X \qquad \qquad \text{since } X \text{ is } \int_{\clubsuit} \text{-modal} \end{array}$$

#### **Red Cohesion, Blue Cohesion**

Still if  $\forall$  and  $\Leftrightarrow$  are orthogonal,

Proposition. ( $\clubsuit$ -crisp  $\int_{\Psi}$ -induction)  $\flat_{\clubsuit}(\flat_{\clubsuit}\int_{\Psi}A \to B) \to \flat_{\clubsuit}(\flat_{\clubsuit}A \to B)$  is an equivalence for  $\int_{\Psi}$ -modal B.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \flat_{\bullet}(\flat_{\bullet} \int_{\bullet} A \to B) \\ \simeq \flat_{\bullet}(\int_{\bullet} A \to \sharp_{\bullet} B) \\ \simeq \flat_{\bullet}(A \to \sharp_{\bullet} B) \\ \simeq \flat_{\bullet}(A \to \sharp_{\bullet} B) \end{split} \qquad by \ \flat_{\bullet} \dashv \sharp_{\bullet} \\ by \ \flat_{\bullet} \dashv \sharp_{\bullet} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma.  $\int \varphi$  and  $\flat_{\phi}$  commute. Proof. Use the induction principles in both directions. Corollary.  $\flat_{\psi}$  and  $\sharp_{\phi}$  commute.

### Assume

- ▶ ♥ satisfies the axioms of Real Cohesion,  $\int \neg b \neg \sharp$ ;
- ▶  $\clubsuit$  satisfies the axioms of Simplicial Cohesion, re  $\dashv$  sk<sub>0</sub>  $\dashv$  csk<sub>0</sub>;
- ▶ They are orthogonal ( $\mathbb{R}$  is 0-skeletal and  $\Delta[1]$  is discrete);
- ▶  $\int$  is calculated levelwise:  $(\eta)_n : X_n \to (\int X)_n$  is itself a  $\int$ -unit.

### Assume

- ▶ ♥ satisfies the axioms of Real Cohesion,  $\int \neg b \neg \sharp$ ;
- ▶  $\clubsuit$  satisfies the axioms of Simplicial Cohesion, re  $\dashv$  sk<sub>0</sub>  $\dashv$  csk<sub>0</sub>;
- ▶ They are orthogonal ( $\mathbb{R}$  is 0-skeletal and  $\Delta[1]$  is discrete);
- ▶  $\int$  is calculated levelwise:  $(\eta)_n : X_n \to (\int X)_n$  is itself a  $\int$ -unit.

#### **Good Covers**

**Definition**. A cover of a 0-skeletal type M is a family  $U: I \to (M \to \mathbf{Prop})$  for a discrete 0-skeletal set I so that for every m: M there is merely an i: I with  $m \in U_i$ .

**Definition**. A cover is good if for any  $n : \mathbb{N}$  and any  $k : [n] \to I$ , the  $\int$ -shape of

$$\bigcap_{i:[n]} U_{k(i)} :\equiv (m:M) \times ((i:[n]) \to (m \in U_{k(i)})).$$

is a proposition.

#### **Good Covers**

**Definition**. A cover of a 0-skeletal type M is a family  $U: I \to (M \to \mathbf{Prop})$  for a discrete 0-skeletal set I so that for every m: M there is merely an i: I with  $m \in U_i$ .

**Definition**. A cover is *good* if for any  $n : \mathbb{N}$  and any  $k : [n] \to I$ , the  $\int$ -shape of

$$\bigcap_{i:[n]} U_{k(i)} :\equiv (m:M) \times ((i:[n]) \to (m \in U_{k(i)})).$$

is a proposition.



The Projection  $\pi: \check{\mathsf{C}}(c) \to \operatorname{csk}_0 I$ 

We may assemble a cover into a single surjective map  $c: \bigsqcup_{i:I} U_i \to M$ , where

$$\bigsqcup_{i:I} U_i :\equiv (i:I) \times (m:M) \times (m \in U_i).$$

Then there is a projection  $\pi : \check{\mathsf{C}}(c) \to \operatorname{\mathsf{csk}}_0 I$ 

**Lemma**. U is a good cover iff the restriction  $\pi : \check{\mathsf{C}}(c) \to \operatorname{im} \pi$  is a  $\int$ -unit.

By an axiom, it suffices to check this on simplices, and we have a convenient description of  $\check{\mathsf{C}}(c)_n$ 

Theorem. reim  $\pi \simeq \int M$ 

**Proof.** The previous says that im  $\pi \simeq \int \check{C}(c)$ , then

$$\operatorname{reim} \pi \simeq \operatorname{re} \int \check{\mathsf{C}}(c) \simeq \int \operatorname{re} \check{\mathsf{C}}(c) \simeq \int \operatorname{im} c \simeq \int M.$$

 $\operatorname{im} \pi$  is a subtype of  $\operatorname{csk}_0 I$ . By assumption I is discrete, so  $\operatorname{csk}_0 I$  is discrete, and then  $\operatorname{im} \pi$  is discrete. So we have exhibited  $\int M$  as the realization of a discrete simplicial set.